

# **Exhibit 36**

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

4 -----x  
5 MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and  
6 LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK,  
7 Plaintiffs,

8 vs.

9 NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION,  
10 Defendant.

11 Civil Action No. C.A. No. 04-1494 (JJF)

12 -----x  
13 MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORP.,  
14 Plaintiff

15 v.

16 MICHAEL J. HANSON and ERNIE J. KINDT,  
17 Defendants

18 Civil Action No. C.S. No. 05-499 (JJF)

19 -----

20 July 12, 2007

21 9:30 a.m.

22 CONFIDENTIAL -- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

23 Deposition of TALTON R. EMBRY,  
24 held at the offices of Curtis,  
25 Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle, 101 Park  
Avenue, New York, New York, before David  
Henry, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and  
Notary Public of the State of New York.

Page 14

1  
2 Partnership?  
3 A. It is not.  
4 Q. With respect to Magten Asset  
5 Management International Corporation, did  
6 you ever buy any of the QUIP's at issue in  
7 this litigation for the benefit of that  
8 company?  
9 A. Not that I recall.  
10 Q. How about Magten Recovery  
11 Associates?  
12 A. I am unfamiliar with Magten  
13 Recovery Associates.  
14 Q. That is not a company that you  
15 established?  
16 A. Not that I am aware of.  
17 Q. How about Magten Recovery Fund?  
18 A. Not that I am aware of.  
19 Q. When did you first acquire the  
20 QUIP's?  
21 A. I believe my first purchases were  
22 approximately in April of 2003.  
23 Q. How did you come to learn of the  
24 existence of the QUIP's as a potential  
25 investment?

Page 15

1  
2 A. Through the news media.  
3 Q. Do you remember specifically what  
4 news media?  
5 A. I do not.  
6 Q. Were you ever advised or was  
7 there a recommendation made with respect to  
8 the QUIP's at that time by any broker with  
9 whom you might have a relationship?  
10 MS. STEINGART: Object as to  
11 form. Are you asking if the broker  
12 spoke to him about it?  
13 Q. Do you understand the question,  
14 Mr. Embry?  
15 A. I saw information from Wall  
16 Street on the company. I am not aware of  
17 on the QUIP's in particular.  
18 Q. And did you buy the QUIP's on the  
19 open market?  
20 A. I did.  
21 Q. Did you use a brokerage house to  
22 buy those QUIP's?  
23 A. Yes.  
24 Q. And which brokerage?  
25 A. A variety, I believe.

Page 16

1  
2 Q. And do you remember which one you  
3 used for the first acquisition?  
4 A. I do not.  
5 Q. What do you remember learning in  
6 that April, May, 2003 time period about  
7 Northwestern that led you to buy the  
8 QUIP's?  
9 A. I can't remember specifically.  
10 Q. Do you remember generally  
11 anything?  
12 A. It's been a long time.  
13 Q. Did you know at that time that  
14 Northwestern had restated its financial  
15 statements for 2002?  
16 MS. STEINGART: Object as to  
17 form.  
18 A. That was in the public record,  
19 yes.  
20 Q. And then we're talking about the  
21 period immediately before the first  
22 purchase of QUIP's by Magten?  
23 A. I believe that's correct.  
24 Q. At that time had you looked at  
25 the restated financials of Northwestern?

Page 17

1  
2 A. To the best of my knowledge, I  
3 had.  
4 Q. Do you remember anything from  
5 those restated financials?  
6 A. Not in specifics, no.  
7 Q. Given that the financials were  
8 restated and you had the opportunity to and  
9 did review them, what made you think that  
10 the purchase of the QUIP's from  
11 Northwestern at that time would make money  
12 for your clients? And I think that's the  
13 word you used earlier.  
14 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
15 form.  
16 A. To the best of my recollection  
17 they were selling at a discounted price and  
18 I thought that the discount was sufficient  
19 to make them sufficiently attractive.  
20 Q. At that time did you have any  
21 concerns that Northwestern might file for  
22 reorganization in bankruptcy?  
23 A. I did not know what the company  
24 was going to do.  
25 Q. Well, I know that you didn't know

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 2 what they were going to, but did you have 3 any concerns that that might be a direction 4 in which the company might ultimately be 5 headed? 6 A. I can't recall. 7 Q. Did you at the time that you 8 first acquired the QUIP's know anything 9 about Northwestern Liability Limited 10 Company? 11 A. Not that I am aware of. 12 Q. Do you know today what 13 Northwestern Energy, LLC is or was? 14 A. I do not. 15 Q. At the time that you first bought 16 the QUIP's, did you make any inquiry about 17 the historic performance of Montana Power 18 Company? 19 A. I reviewed the financial 20 information that was available. 21 Q. And when you say financial 22 information that was available, from what 23 sources? 24 A. From the public documents that 25 were filed by the company, 10-Q's and</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 2 reviewed them, but I do not recall those 3 with any specificity. 4 Q. Do you recall if you had reviewed 5 an 8K from December of 2002 in which the 6 company issued a press release? 7 A. I don't recall it. 8 Q. Would it refresh your memory if I 9 told that you that that 8K generally stated 10 that the company might have to restate its 11 financials for 2002 and that it was looking 12 into the matter? 13 A. It would not refresh my memory. 14 Q. At the time before you first 15 acquired the -- any of the QUIP's, did you 16 review the unit purchase agreement between 17 Montana Power Company and Northwestern 18 Corporation for the acquisition of Montana 19 Power Limited Liability Company? 20 A. I don't recall. 21 Q. At the time that you first 22 acquired the QUIP's, did you look at the 23 asset transfer agreement between 24 Northwestern Corporation and Northwestern 25 Energy for the transfer of certain assets</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 2 10-K's. 3 Q. When you say company, are you 4 talking about Montana Power Company? 5 A. Northwestern. 6 Q. And do you recall which K's and 7 Q's you have looked at, over what time 8 period would they have covered? 9 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to 10 form. 11 A. To the best of my recollection, 12 it would have been the 2002 10-Q's and 2001 13 10-K. 14 Q. So prior to buying the QUIP's, 15 you did review the first quarter 2002? 16 A. To the best of my recollection. 17 Q. And same with the second quarter? 18 A. To the best of my recollection. 19 Q. And the third quarter? 20 A. To the best of my recollection. 21 Q. And then you reviewed the 22 restated financials that were issued in 23 April of 2003? 24 A. If there were restated financials 25 issued in April of 2003, I would have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 2 and liabilities from the subsidiary to the 3 parent? 4 A. I don't recall. 5 Q. At that time before you first 6 acquired QUIP's, did you look at the 7 indenture that's related to the QUIP's? 8 A. To the best of my recollection, I 9 did. 10 Q. And did you look at the First 11 Amendment to the indenture? 12 A. To the best of my recollection, I 13 did. 14 Q. The second amendment? 15 A. Best of my recollection, I did. 16 Q. And also the third? 17 A. To the best of my recollection, I 18 did. 19 Q. And did you also review at that 20 time the assumption agreement under which 21 Northwestern Corporation assumed 22 responsibility for payments related to the 23 QUIP's? 24 A. To the best of my recollection, I 25 did.</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 38

1  
2 Q. Do you know who he is?  
3 A. I do.  
4 Q. And who is he?  
5 A. I believe that he is a member of  
6 the board of Northwestern Corporation and  
7 president of the company.  
8 Q. Do you know what position  
9 Mr. Hanson held with Northwestern  
10 Corporation in 2002?  
11 A. I cannot recall.  
12 Q. Do you know if Mr. Hanson held  
13 any positions with Northwestern Energy, LLC  
14 in 2002?  
15 A. Do I know that now or did I know  
16 that then?  
17 Q. Do you know that now?  
18 A. I don't know.  
19 Q. At the time when you first  
20 acquired the QUIP's in 2003, did you know  
21 of a Michael Hanson associated with  
22 Northwestern?  
23 A. Not that I can recall.  
24 Q. And you've never met Mr. Hanson?  
25 MS. STEINGART: Face-to-face?

Page 40

1  
2 A. That's correct.  
3 Q. And could you tell me why you  
4 sued Mr. Kindt?  
5 A. Mr. Kindt, to the best of my  
6 knowledge, was an officer of Clark Fork,  
7 and was responsible for the assets and  
8 liabilities of Clark Fork and owed a duty  
9 to me as an investor in Clark Fork  
10 Securities.  
11 Q. Were you an investor in the  
12 QUIP's in 2002? And when I say you, Magten  
13 on behalf of its clients.  
14 A. No.  
15 Q. And do you have a different  
16 reason for why you named Mr. Hanson as a  
17 defendant in this lawsuit, different than  
18 the reason you gave me with respect to  
19 Mr. Kindt?  
20 A. Not that I am aware of.  
21 (Embry 1, Magten 14-16, marked for  
22 identification.)  
23 Q. I've handed you what has been  
24 marked as Embry deposition Exhibit  
25 number 1. Can you just describe for me

Page 39

1  
2 A. I've been in a room with  
3 Mr. Hanson, but I don't believe that I've  
4 ever met him.  
5 Q. And when was that, that you were  
6 in the room with him?  
7 A. Several years ago at a mediation.  
8 Q. Have you ever met Mr. Ernie  
9 Kindt?  
10 A. I have not.  
11 Q. Have you ever been in the same  
12 room as Mr. Kindt?  
13 A. Not that I am aware of.  
14 Q. In 2003 when you acquired the  
15 QUIP's, did you know of Ernie Kindt?  
16 A. Not that I am aware of.  
17 Q. Sitting here today, what do you  
18 know about Mr. Kindt in terms of his  
19 employment?  
20 A. Present?  
21 Q. Present or historical employment,  
22 either.  
23 A. Nothing specific.  
24 Q. You do know that you named  
25 Mr. Kindt as a defendant in a lawsuit?

Page 41

1  
2 what this document or set of documents is?  
3 A. This document is a Merrill Lynch  
4 research piece dated 19 May, 2003 from the  
5 high grade utility department, high grade  
6 credit research department.  
7 Q. And on the front page there is a,  
8 it looks like two e-mails, and that's Bates  
9 number document 00014.  
10 A. Correct.  
11 Q. And the e-mail at the bottom, is  
12 that the e-mail from Tatyana Dobryanskaya  
13 at Merrill Lynch sending that report to  
14 you?  
15 A. That is correct.  
16 Q. And who is Megan Costello?  
17 MS. STEINGART: She's one of my  
18 associates.  
19 MR. KALECZYC: If you would  
20 like to testify, Bonnie, we'll swear  
21 you in.  
22 A. I can't recall.  
23 Q. Now, the document that is Bates  
24 numbered 15 and 16, which is the actual --  
25 the Therm Monitor report, you received that

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 90

1  
2 answered.  
3 A. As I have testified previously, I  
4 am not a registered investment advisor.  
5 Q. Have you ever been an investment  
6 advisor?  
7 A. I have been.  
8 Q. And when was that?  
9 A. That was prior to -- I ceased to  
10 be a registered investment advisor in 2002.  
11 Q. And for how long were you a  
12 registered investment advisor?  
13 A. From 1978.  
14 Q. And did you voluntarily surrender  
15 your registration in 2002?  
16 A. I did.  
17 Q. Was that in any way in connection  
18 with the SEC action that you previously  
19 testified to?  
20 A. No. The SEC action was  
21 fulfilled, done, or signed, in 1993.  
22 Q. Were you -- I know that you  
23 currently do not hold any licenses. Did  
24 you ever hold any licenses as a securities  
25 dealer or an agent, registered rep?

Page 92

1  
2 Q. The trade date, and if you look  
3 at Deposition Exhibit 2, there is a trade  
4 date in the third column.  
5 A. Yes.  
6 Q. Is that the date of acquisition  
7 in each instance?  
8 A. That's correct.  
9 Q. Would it be fair for me to say  
10 that if I were to add up all of the  
11 purchases that were made prior to  
12 approximately September 15, 03, that that  
13 would tell me how many QUIP's were bought,  
14 at least tell me how many QUIP's were  
15 bought prior to Northwestern going into  
16 bankruptcy?  
17 A. Yes, it would.  
18 Q. And so anything after that date  
19 would be post bankruptcy and purchases?  
20 A. Yes, sir.  
21 Q. And just so it's clear to me,  
22 does Deposition Exhibit 2 show sales of  
23 QUIP's or just purchases?  
24 A. This shows just purchases.  
25 Q. It's true though that some of

Page 91

1  
2 A. No, sir.  
3 Q. You continued to buy QUIP's after  
4 Northwestern Corporation filed for  
5 reorganization and bankruptcy in September,  
6 2003, correct?  
7 A. Correct.  
8 Q. Of the total million plus QUIP's  
9 that you hold today, can you tell me  
10 approximately how many were purchased prior  
11 to Northwestern going into bankruptcy?  
12 A. I cannot.  
13 Q. Would looking at Embry Deposition  
14 Exhibit 2 help in any way in getting an  
15 answer to that question?  
16 A. What was the question?  
17 Q. The question was, the original  
18 question, Mr. Embry, was how many of the  
19 QUIP's were acquired prior to Northwestern  
20 filing for reorganization and bankruptcy,  
21 you said you didn't remember. I asked  
22 whether the information contained in  
23 Deposition Exhibit 2 might be helpful in  
24 being able to come to that answer.  
25 A. It did not help.

Page 93

1  
2 your clients for whom you originally bought  
3 QUIP's have sold their QUIP's some time  
4 after their purchase?  
5 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
6 form.  
7 A. I am aware of one small sale, and  
8 other than that I am not aware.  
9 Q. Were any of your clients for whom  
10 you acquired QUIP's part of the group of  
11 QUIP's holders who elected to take from the  
12 plan of reorganization and bankruptcy for  
13 Northwestern?  
14 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
15 form.  
16 A. Can you clarify that?  
17 MS. STEINGART: Are you asking  
18 whether any of them were settling  
19 QUIP's?  
20 MR. KALECZYC: Yes.  
21 A. Not that I am aware of.  
22 Q. Now, you testified earlier about  
23 your decision to buy QUIP's before  
24 Northwestern went into bankruptcy. Did  
25 your investment strategy change at all once



Page 94

1  
2 Northwestern had filed for bankruptcy in  
3 September of 03?  
4 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
5 form.  
6 A. Yes.  
7 Q. In what way did it change?  
8 A. Northwestern was in bankruptcy.  
9 Q. Why did you continue to buy  
10 QUIP's in Northwestern after Northwestern  
11 was in bankruptcy?  
12 A. I thought it was a good  
13 investment.  
14 Q. And why did you think it was a  
15 good investment?  
16 A. Because the company had engaged  
17 in a fraud which had -- which gave rise to  
18 a fraudulent conveyance claim, which the  
19 securities represent a claim on.  
20 Q. Now, when you say the company  
21 engaged in a fraud, what is the fraud that  
22 you are referring to?  
23 A. That the company was unable to --  
24 that the company assumed the obligations of  
25 the QUIP's when it was unable to do so.

Page 95

1  
2 Q. And when did you come to the  
3 determination that the company had engaged  
4 in the fraud that you just described?  
5 A. I don't mean to be picky or  
6 anything, what does determination mean?  
7 When did I think or when did I -- I mean,  
8 did I determine?  
9 Q. You can answer the question in  
10 any way that you would like.  
11 MS. STEINGART: Well, if you  
12 don't understand the question, then  
13 you don't have to answer it, so can  
14 you indicate that you don't understand  
15 it. If you understand it, you can  
16 answer it.  
17 A. Rework it, if you would.  
18 Q. When did you learn that  
19 Northwestern Corporation was in bankruptcy?  
20 A. I believe the day it filed.  
21 Q. Prior to the day that it filed,  
22 had you come to a determination, did you  
23 think that a fraud had been committed of  
24 the type that you described a few minutes  
25 ago?

Page 96

1  
2 A. I did not know.  
3 Q. Did you suspect prior to the  
4 filing that there might be a fraudulent  
5 activity?  
6 A. I did not know.  
7 Q. I didn't say whether you know,  
8 did you have any suspicion?  
9 A. I did not know.  
10 Q. What do you mean by did not know?  
11 A. That I was uncertain.  
12 Q. But it was in your mind that that  
13 might be the case prior to the filing in  
14 bankruptcy?  
15 A. That it might be the case, yes.  
16 Q. And how much in advance of the  
17 filing in bankruptcy did you begin to think  
18 that that might be the case?  
19 A. I don't know.  
20 Q. Was it a week?  
21 A. I don't know.  
22 Q. But it was not at the time when  
23 you first bought the QUIP's, was it?  
24 A. I don't remember.  
25 Q. How long after Northwestern

Page 97

1  
2 declared bankruptcy did your uncertainty  
3 change to something more on the order of  
4 the certainty that a fraud of the type you  
5 described was committed?  
6 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
7 form.  
8 A. In a month or two after the  
9 filing of the bankruptcy.  
10 Q. And what led you to that  
11 conclusion?  
12 A. I hired Fried Frank to review the  
13 situation.  
14 MS. STEINGART: Other than  
15 consult with counsel, I think that's  
16 all he is permitted to testified to.  
17 THE WITNESS: I consulted with  
18 counsel.  
19 MS. STEINGART: And thereafter  
20 he is instructed on the basis of  
21 privilege not to answer.  
22 MR. PIZZURRO: Well, the line  
23 of questions we have right now is  
24 going to investment decisions and the  
25 reasons behind that that the witness

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Page 114

1  
2 office here in New York.  
3 Q. And was Katten Muchin  
4 representing you at the time?  
5 A. No.  
6 Q. Were they representing  
7 Mr. Ashner?  
8 A. No.  
9 Q. Why were you and Mr. Ashner in  
10 the offices of Katten Muchin?  
11 A. We're directors of the company.  
12 Q. Did you have any discussion with  
13 Mr. Ashner at that time regarding the claim  
14 that had been brought by Magten with  
15 respect to the claims against Northwestern?  
16 A. No.  
17 Q. Did Mr. Ashner ask you how the  
18 case was going?  
19 A. He might have, I can't remember.  
20 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Ashner  
21 was aware that there was a lawsuit being  
22 brought by Magten against Northwestern with  
23 respect to the QUIP's?  
24 A. I believe that he was.  
25 Q. And how did you know that?

Page 116

1  
2 03 per QUIP was \$9.25.  
3 MS. STEINGART: I object. It  
4 hasn't been established that this was  
5 the first, though it may very well be.  
6 Q. I'm sorry, it was reflected as a  
7 purchase of QUIP's at this time, was \$9.25  
8 per QUIP, is that correct?  
9 A. That is correct.  
10 Q. And by June of 2006, a little  
11 more than three years later, you were  
12 willing to pay without negotiating, without  
13 question, without further discussion, \$15,  
14 is that correct?  
15 MS. STEINGART: I object as to  
16 form.  
17 A. Correct.  
18 Q. What caused you to be willing to  
19 pay \$15 per QUIP in June of 06 when you  
20 were only willing to pay \$9.25 per QUIP in  
21 late April of 03?  
22 MS. STEINGART: Object as to  
23 form. That's not what the record  
24 shows.  
25 A. The \$9.25 which I paid was what

Page 115

1  
2 A. I believe that I told him that.  
3 Q. When did you tell him that?  
4 A. I don't recall.  
5 Q. What did you tell him?  
6 A. I told him that we had a lawsuit.  
7 Q. What else did you tell him?  
8 A. That's all I recall.  
9 Q. Did you tell him your belief as  
10 to the merits of the lawsuit?  
11 A. I don't recall.  
12 Q. Did you tell him anything about  
13 what your lawyers had told you about the  
14 merits of the lawsuit?  
15 A. I don't recall.  
16 Q. Did you negotiate at all with  
17 Mr. Ashner over the price of the QUIP's?  
18 A. No.  
19 Q. Who made the offer?  
20 A. He made the offer.  
21 Q. And you accepted it?  
22 A. I accepted it.  
23 Q. If I recall correctly, from  
24 what's been marked as Exhibit 3, the  
25 initial purchase price back in late April,

Page 117

1  
2 the market offered on that date.  
3 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Ashner  
4 was aware of what you had paid for the  
5 QUIP's back in April of 03?  
6 A. I'm not aware of that, no.  
7 Q. Was there any documentation that  
8 was created in connection with the sale of  
9 the QUIP's from Mr. Ashner to you for \$15  
10 in June of 06?  
11 A. A trade ticket.  
12 Q. Anything else?  
13 A. Not that I recall.  
14 Q. Were there brokers involved?  
15 A. Yes.  
16 Q. Who were the brokers?  
17 A. Morgan Stanley.  
18 Q. Was \$15 the market price for the  
19 QUIP's in June of 06?  
20 MS. STEINGART: Objection as to  
21 form.  
22 A. I don't know.  
23 Q. But am I correct, sir, that this  
24 was, regardless of whether there was a  
25 market price, this was an independently



Page 134

1  
2 QUIP's and the QUIP's litigation?  
3 A. I cannot.  
4 Q. Can you give us your best  
5 recollection of the sum and substance of  
6 any of the conversations that you had with  
7 Mr. Bakal concerning the QUIP's and the  
8 QUIP's lawsuit?  
9 MS. STEINGART: And that's  
10 other than the ones he's already  
11 testified about, the more recent ones.  
12 MR. PIZZURRO: Correct.  
13 A. Other than -- the only vague  
14 recollection that I have here is that after  
15 the settlement was denied -- the settlement  
16 was denied, and that we were back to square  
17 one.  
18 Q. Is your recollection that in that  
19 conversation, and I understand it may be  
20 difficult to differentiate between or among  
21 different conversations on the same subject  
22 matter over a period of time, but is it  
23 your recollection that in that conversation  
24 Mr. Bakal would have known about the  
25 proposed settlement?

Page 135

1  
2 A. To the best of my knowledge, he  
3 did not know about the proposed settlement.  
4 Q. Do you have a recollection as  
5 best you can as to what Mr. Bakal's  
6 response was to your informing him that the  
7 settlement had broken down?  
8 A. I can't recall.  
9 Q. Okay, can you recall the sum and  
10 substance of any other conversation or  
11 conversations you may have had with  
12 Mr. Bakal other than that one to which you  
13 just testified and the ones to which you  
14 earlier testified concerning the QUIP's  
15 lawsuit?  
16 A. Not that I can recall.  
17 Q. Any other clients that you  
18 discussed this lawsuit with?  
19 A. No.  
20 Q. Is Mr. Bakal still a client?  
21 A. He is.  
22 Q. Does his account still hold  
23 QUIP's?  
24 A. It does.  
25 Q. Is Ms. --

Page 136

1  
2 A. Russell.  
3 Q. Is she still a client?  
4 A. Yes.  
5 Q. Does your account hold QUIP's?  
6 A. Yes, it does.  
7 Q. Did you ever offer to purchase  
8 the Magten for yourself or any entity  
9 controlled by you to purchase QUIP's held  
10 in the accounts of your other clients?  
11 A. No.  
12 Q. Did you cause Magten to invest in  
13 QUIP's for the account of Ms. Russell  
14 subsequent to the time that Northwestern  
15 filed for Chapter 11 in September of 03?  
16 A. I can't recall.  
17 Q. Can you recall whether you caused  
18 an investment to be made for the account of  
19 Mr. Bakal?  
20 A. I can't recall.  
21 Q. Prior to the purchase of the 20  
22 thousand QUIP's from Mr. Ashner that we  
23 discussed earlier in June of 06 --  
24 A. May I correct the record here? I  
25 believe that I have been corrected by my

Page 137

1  
2 counsel. We presented -- we have given you  
3 the trade tickets on that transaction,  
4 presented those to whoever, and I believe  
5 it says May rather than June of 06, and I  
6 just want to get that correction there. I  
7 think that's correct. I just want -- I  
8 don't want anybody to go crazy because the  
9 May June thing.  
10 Q. It takes a lot more than that to  
11 make me crazy.  
12 A. In this matter, anything sets  
13 people off, pretty much.  
14 Q. Prior to the transaction of the  
15 20 thousand QUIP's of Mr. Ashner in the  
16 late spring of 2006, when was the most  
17 recent purchase by Magten of QUIP's?  
18 A. I can't recall.  
19 Q. Do you recall as you sit here  
20 today whether there were any purchases of  
21 QUIP's between let's say the end of 2004  
22 and June of 2006?  
23 A. I just don't know. I've been  
24 here for --  
25 MS. STEINGART: If you know,

35 (Pages 134 to 137)